Welcome to the September 2011 edition of the Structured Pathology Reporting of Cancer newsletter.

This newsletter is intended to provide information on the project to expand and promote the use of structured pathology reporting of cancer.

ICCR in HELSINKI

As reported previously, the signing in February 2011 of a formal “Agreement to Collaborate” between the RCPA, Royal College of Pathologists UK (RCPath), College of American Pathologists (CAP) and the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (CPAC) (referred to as the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR)) has resulted in work on international cancer datasets for Prostate (Radical Prostatectomy), Endometrium, Melanoma and Lung cancers.

Work on all four cancers commenced in June 2011 with each country taking on the leadership of an international team working on the development of one cancer. Progress was assessed at a meeting of the ICCR at the recent European Society of Pathologists (ESP) meeting in Helsinki. The priority for each cancer specific team was to agree the elements which are CORE. CORE elements were defined as those elements which are essential for the clinical management, staging or prognosis of the cancer. Secondary to this aim was for each team to review and propose the value list or responses to the CORE elements eg “present”, “not indicated” and then to establish a list of NON-CORE elements.

The International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting (ICCR) leadership team met early on the Sunday morning of the ESP conference to cover a packed agenda covering a wide range of topics. A/Prof David Ellis, Chair of the meeting, reported the meeting a ‘great success’. Not only had all teams made significant progress but a number of important decisions were made as to how to proceed and a clear set of action items was developed including:
• Preparation of a brief communiqué with key points to be used in communications, newsletters etc.
• Preparation of a detailed report of the project (following normalisation of each approach)
• Establishing a preferred and simplified process for further protocol development
• Abstract submissions to USCAP, and to UICC 2012.
• Submission of 4 cancer specific papers and one generic paper to lead clinical journals,
• Further investigating and progressing engagement with key International Cancer Organisations.

One of the key results was to use the Melanoma dataset as the initial ‘model’ to standardise the other 3 cancer datasets and develop a guide similar to the guides used by Australia.

Following the success of the meeting in Helsinki and after normalisation of terminology and formatting of the international cancer datasets, the 2nd editions of the RCPA protocols for Prostate (Radical Prostatectomy), Lung and Melanoma will include the agreed CORE elements from the international process.
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Thank you!

The Structured Pathology Reporting team would like to extend a very warm thank you to two of our Cancer Committees chairs who have stepped down in recent months.

Firstly, a very big thank you to Prof Michael Bilous, chair of the breast cancer committee and member of the Structured Pathology Reporting Project Group who was instrumental in the transition of
the National Breast and Ovarian Cancer Centre’s (NBOCC) 3rd edition of "The pathology reporting of breast cancer A guide for pathologists, surgeons, radiologists and oncologists" to our breast cancer protocol format; a formidable project.

Also many thanks go to our retiring chair of the Gynaecological Cancer Committee, Dr Nick Mulvany. Nick took on the role of lead author of the Endometrial Cancer Protocol which was published to the RCPA website earlier this year.

**Guides PLUS**

To enable quick and easy access to the wealth of knowledge in our protocols without the need to ‘trawl’ through the long document, we have included hyperlinks to the relevant sections of the protocol from our guides. When viewing the guide with a valid internet connection, clicking on the standard or guideline number within the guide will pop open the text relating to that element from the protocols, including diagrams and referenced information.

Guides with hyperlinked help are available for each of the following cancers:

- Colorectal cancer
- Prostate (Radical Prostatectomy) cancer
- Lung cancer
- Primary Cutaneous Melanoma
- Haematopoietic & Lymphoid Tumours
- Endometrium cancer
- Gastric cancer
Looking for a new LIS?

If your organisation is planning on a new Laboratory Information System (LIS) then there are some things to consider:

Functional Requirements
It is always difficult to come up with a list of requirements to start the process of LIS acquisition.

To direct the type of development needed in our LIS to support structured reporting we have produced two documents:

a) a SPR LIS Functional Requirements document which provides a background and discussion of general principles in relation to structured reporting eg standards and guidelines; and also describes specific functionality requirements eg “The pathologist must be notified and asked to complete those standards (mandatory fields) that have not been completed when attempting to verify the report (signout)”. The functional requirements are divided into mandatory and recommended items.

b) a Universal Design Requirements document which contains a set of design principles which should govern all LIS development eg “Nothing should ever require human entry, which the computer should be capable of synthesizing from known information.”

These documents will help you with your acquisition process by ensuring you include the requirements necessary in your LIS to move ahead with structured pathology reporting and transition through the levels of compliance.

The documents are posted to:

www.rcpa.edu.au/Publications/StructuredReporting/LISfunctionalrequirements.htm

Compliance
In 2007 at the National Roundtable on Structured Pathology Reporting of Pathology the consensus statement was that “cancer care in Australia will benefit from the development, publication and adoption of a series of voluntary, national structured reporting standards for each cancer type.”
With 12 protocols published and another 11 in varying stages of development it is time to move forward to the ‘adoption’ phase of our directive.

The following compliance matrix describes the significant stages of development that laboratories must transit to achieve a fully structured model. A request to National Pathology Accreditation Advisory Council (NPAAC) has been submitted to develop a standard on the reporting of cancer against which laboratories would be accredited at Level 3 by 2013. Level 3 requires that cancer reports comply with the available RCPA published protocols and that a structured format is used (though not necessarily using advanced data entry tools).

Compliance at level 3 will enable laboratories to gain the benefits of improved completeness of cancer reports but will not require investment in new technology. However many sites might find it more advantageous to implement with appropriate electronic data entry tools (Level 4 compliance).

Higher levels of compliance will be sought as our Laboratory Information System (LIS) systems advance. If you are planning to update your LIS then this compliance matrix might help you to plan ahead and maximize your investment.

Click on the following link to access the diagram from the RCPA website:

www.rcpa.edu.au/Publications/StructuredReporting/Compliancematrix.htm

Volunteer still required!

Consulting Anatomical Pathologist or Trainee Required!
Part-time Casual, Structured Pathology Reporting project
**Project Description:**
The University of Sydney has been awarded a research project grant from the QUPC to explore mechanisms for automatically populating structured reports from prose-based reports.

The project involves identifying in reports the content required to fill the fields in an equivalent structured report. In this process, several hundred reports are annotated for examples of the information to be extracted and then algorithms are developed that use the examples to compute a more general model of the desired content. The model is evaluated and the algorithm revised in a feedback process to produce a more accurate result. This is continued over a series of experiments until an optimal model is identified.

**Role description:**
This work requires a pathologist or trainee to assist in identifying the text that needs to be extracted to fill the structured report. As the annotations of the texts will be completed by linguists, the role of the pathologist in the early phases of the project will be to train the linguists to identify the correct portions of the texts. The work requires engagement in the earlier stages of the project to define a set of guidelines as to the appropriate content for the fields of a structured report. Ongoing work throughout the project involves quality assurance of the annotated content. During the experimental phase the pathologist will need to give advice on the appropriateness of extracted content and comment on the formats for its presentation. This work includes a research aspect and it is expected that peer reviewed papers in various disciplinary contexts will be part of the project outcomes.

The position is part-time with casual hours depending on the stage of the project.

**Contact:**
Professor Jon Patrick  
School of Information Technologies  
University of Sydney  
Phone 02 9351 3524  
Email jonpat@it.usyd.edu.au

**WEBINARS Coming up!**
Webinars are online meetings or presentations which you can attend from the comfort of your office or home using a phone and internet connection.

We are planning a series of webinars in the near future on some of the new protocols and some of the updates coming to the older protocols. Stay tuned!
Example reports!

You may see a request from QAP to submit a recent de-identified colorectal cancer report. The purpose is to accumulate a reasonable bundle of reports to undertake an audit on the use of Structured Pathology Reporting and the completeness of those reports as compared to the published protocols.

At this stage the reports submitted are to establish an Australasian baseline against which we can assess progress in the future. We are not measuring performance or use at an individual laboratory level. It would be most helpful if you could send in a de-identified colorectal cancer report to include in the audit.

Send your reports to Meagan Judge at MeaganJ@RCPA.EDU.AU.

Protocol Update

The protocol for testicular tumours is now open for public consultation. Please take the time to visit the link below and provide your valuable feedback:

www.rcpa.edu.au/Publications/StructuredReporting/publicconsultation.htm

The oral cancer protocol will be published in the very near future.

Protocols that are under way or in the planning stage are:
- Prostate cancer – Core biopsy
- Vulvar cancer
- Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours (GIST)
- Cervical cancer
- Bladder cancer
- Adrenal gland tumours
- Oesophageal cancer
- Neuroblastoma (Paediatrics)
- Squamous cell carcinoma of the conjunctiva

Structured Pathology Reporting Project Manager:

Meagan Judge
The Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia
Phone: +61 2 8356 5854
Mobile: 0402 891031
Fax: +61 2 8356 5808
Address: 207 Albion Street, Surry Hills, NSW 2010, Australia

WEBSITE: www.rcpa.edu.au/Publications/StructuredReporting.htm
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